

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,051	02/12/2002	William R. Palmer	1471.075 .	8642
21917	7590 03/23/2005		EXAMINER	
MCHALE &	& SLAVIN, P.A.		METZMAIER	R. DANIEL S
	CH GARDENS, FL 33410	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1712	
	·		DATE MAILED: 03/23/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>U</u>)
	10/076,051	PALMER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rolly within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 I	November 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the meri	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under			-
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application	า		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		hy the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	· ·	21/d)
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage)
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5)	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
5. Patent and Trademark Office		_	
TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200	50321
1			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-50 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-12 and 26-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al, US 5,173,218. Cohen et al (column 1, line 51; to column 2, line 7; column 2, lines 44 et seq; column 3, lines 12-36 and 40; column 4, lines 13 et seq; column 5, lines 66; examples and claims) discloses the formation of a porous flexible plasticized structure (column 1, lines 53 et seq) employing chemiluminescent compositions with multiple particle size distributions of polymeric particles. Cohen et al (column 3, lines 13-36) discloses methods of making the

Application/Control Number: 10/076,051

Art Unit: 1712

materials and characterizes the slurry compositions as capable of being cast, molded, extruded and blow molded. Said characterization appears to be consistent with a "fluidized solid" as claimed.

Cohen et al <u>differs</u> from the claims in the characterization of the slurry composition as a "fluidized solid" and functional language defining the amount of second particulate effective to yield a fluidized solid admixture.

Cohen et al (examples, particularly example 1) discloses the formation of a thick paste of a fine particle size (200 nm to 1.5 microns) followed by curing and the addition of a second particle size (medium size 70-75 microns and large 150 microns) to form a very thick smooth mixture.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art.at the time of applicants' invention to employ paste or thixotropic slurries with the multiple particle size polymers disclosed in the Cohen et al reference as very thick smooth mixtures.

4. Claims 13-25 and 37-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al, US 5,173,218, as applied to claims 1-12 and 25-37 above, and further in view of Holland et al, US 5,158,349, and Roberts, US 3,808,414.

Cohen et al further <u>differs</u> from claims 13-25 and 37-45 in the multidimensional chemiluminescent reactive system wherein the reactants are separate until the desired time of use.

Holland et al (figures and columns 2-5) and Roberts (figures and column 2, lines 1-37, particularly 16-20) disclose chemiluminescent package systems include systems having multiple compartments that may be open to mixing reactive components.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,051

Art Unit: 1712

Holland et al discloses concentric tubules, wherein when the inner tubule is ruptured, the chemiluminescent materials react resulting in chemiluminescence.

Roberts discloses a package, wherein when the clip is removed the reactive components mix and react resulting in chemiluminescence.

These references are combinable because they teach chemiluminescent compositions, methods of making and packaging therefore. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of applicants' invention to employ multicomponent packages of Holland et al and Roberts for the Cohen et al materials to form a chemiluminescent effect and the advantage of storage and preserving said chemiluminescent effect until a desired time.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed Nov. 19, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicants (pages 13 and 14) assert the Cohen reference is directed to liquids and applicants' claims as amended are directed to a moist packable formable powder. This has not been deemed persuasive since the Cohen reference teaches a thick paste of particles and there is no clear demarcation between the Cohen disclosure of a thick paste and the claimed moist, packable and formable powder as a fluidizable solid admixture. Said asserted difference is based on degrees rather than kind. Applicants' compositions and those of Cohen are intended for the same utility and both desire a material having porosity be formed (see at least column 1, line 46, of Cohen).

7. Applicants (pages 14-16) assert the secondary references are directed to the packaging of the materials and do not make up for the deficiencies of the Cohen reference. The Cohen reference has been addressed above.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1089. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S. Metzmaier

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

DSM